Bombay may be claiming some area from Mysore State and the Government of Mysore also may be claiming some area from Bombay State. But ultimately, it must be minor boundary disputes agreed to by both the Governments.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Will the Hon'ble the Chief Minister be pleased to say in respect of what particular villages there is this dispute? The whole thing is kept in the dark. I am asking what are the villages in respect of which minor adjustments are pending?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Both the Governments have not come to the conclusion. I have given my views through the Union Home Minister to the Bombay Government. I have not got specific reply either from Bombay or the Union Home Minister.

---

## Construction of Dandavathi Project in Sorab Taluk.

*Q.—216. Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA (Honnali).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the ryots of Anavatti Hobli, Sorab Taluk, Shimoga District, are agitating for the construction of Dandavathi project in Sorab taluk;

(*b*) if so, the reasons for not including the same in the Second Five-Year Plan;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that an estimate has been prepared for this project?

*A.*—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) In view of the high priority for other projects and of the ceiling fixed by the Planning Commission for expenditure under irrigation in the Second Five-Year Plan, the project was not included in the Second Five-Year Plan.

(*c*) Yes.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—May I know the basis of the determination of priority under which this project was not elected?

†Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—There are various considerations on which a project is taken up. Firstly, it must be a feasible project. Secondly, it must be a backward area or a scarcity area. On the basis of these things and a number of other considerations, we take up a project.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—Can I take it that it does not come under any of these priorities?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—It is no doubt a good project. But the reasons that prevailed at the time of selection, I presume, must be to give priority to schemes in scarcity area. This project taken by itself looks to be *prima facie* a good project. But it requires further consideration.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—What is the cost of the project and the area that will be brought under irrigation?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—It is proposed to irrigate about 8,000 acres, out of which 5,000 acres are already tank-fed and 3,000 acres would come under fresh atchkat. The estimate as prepared in 1954 is Rs. 42 lakhs and it has got to be revised.

---

## Accommodation in T.B. Hospital at Mysore.

*Q.—230. Sri G. S. BOMMEGOWDA (Mandya).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of patients that could be accommodated in the Tuberculosis Hospital at Mysore;

(*b*) out of the total number of patients, the percentage of patients belonging to Mandya District;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that many patients of Mandya District have been sent back for want of accommodation?

*A.*—Sri K. K. HEGDE (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) 365 patients could be accommodated in P.K.T. Sanatorium, Mysore.

(*b*) Out of the total number of patients, 11 per cent of the patients belong to Mandya District.